

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 43444

STATE OF IDAHO, ) 2016 Unpublished Opinion No. 505  
)  
Plaintiff-Respondent, ) Filed: April 28, 2016  
)  
v. ) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk  
)  
DANIEL BERNARD STONE, ) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED  
) OPINION AND SHALL NOT  
Defendant-Appellant. ) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY  
)

---

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Samuel A. Hoagland, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of ten years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years, for grand theft by possession of stolen property, affirmed.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Ben P. McGreevy, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

---

Before MELANSON, Chief Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge;  
and HUSKEY, Judge

---

PER CURIAM

Daniel Bernard Stone pled guilty to grand theft by possession of stolen property. I.C. §§ 18-2403(4), 18-2407(1), 18-2409, and 18-204. The district court sentenced Stone to a unified term of ten years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years. Stone filed an I.C.R 35 motion, which the district court denied. Stone appeals.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and

need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Stone's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.